

# REAL ANALYSIS AND MEASURE THEORY

BY

DR. PRATULANANDA DAS

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
JADAVPUR UNIVERSITY  
KOLKATA- 700032  
WEST BENGAL, INDIA  
E-mail : pratulananda@yahoo.co.in



## Chapter 9

### Abstract Measure Theory

#### Module 4

Extension of measure and the notion  
measurable covers

**Theorem 9.25.** Let  $\mu$  be a measure on a ring  $\mathbf{R}$  and let  $\mu^*$  be the extension of  $\mu$  to an outer measure on  $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{R})$ . Let  $\bar{S}$  be the collection of  $\mu^*$ -measurable sets and  $\bar{\mu}$  be the restriction of  $\mu^*$  on  $\bar{S}$ . Then  $\bar{\mu}$  is an extension of  $\mu$  to a measure on  $\bar{S}$ .

**Proof:** Obviously  $\bar{\mu}$  is non-negative with  $\bar{\mu}(\phi) = 0$  and  $\bar{\mu}$  is an extension of  $\mu$ . To show that  $\bar{\mu}$  is a measure we will have to show that  $\bar{\mu}$  is countably additive. We know that if  $A \in \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{R})$  and  $\{E_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of pairwise disjoint measurable sets then

$$\mu^*(A \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(A \cap E_i).$$

Now putting  $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$  we get

$$\mu^*(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(E_i).$$

This proves that  $\bar{\mu}$  is countably additive.

Now a natural question arises whether the outer measure  $\mu^*$  can be extended further, or in other words, if we extend the measure  $\bar{\mu}$  into an outer measure then will it give a new outer measure? It is easy to verify that  $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{R}) = \mathbf{H}(\bar{S})$ . The following result shows that the outer measure generated by  $\bar{\mu}$  is again  $\mu^*$ .

**Theorem 9.26.** Let  $\mu$  be a measure on a ring  $\mathbf{R}$  and let  $\mu^*$  be the extension of  $\mu$  to an outer measure on  $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{R})$ . Let  $\bar{S}$  be the collection of  $\mu^*$ -measurable sets and  $\bar{\mu}$  be the extension of  $\mu$  to  $\bar{S}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*(E) &= \inf \{ \bar{\mu}(F) : E \subset F, F \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{R}) \} \\ &= \inf \{ \bar{\mu}(F) : E \subset F, F \in \bar{S} \} \end{aligned}$$

for any  $E \in \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{R})$ .

**Proof:** Let  $E \in \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{R})$ . By definition of  $\mu^*$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*(E) &= \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_n) : E \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n, E_n \in \mathbf{R} \right\} \\ &\geq \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{\mu}(E_n) : E \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n, E_n \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{R}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

But if we write  $F = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$  then  $F \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{R})$  and we know that  $\bar{\mu}(F) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{\mu}(E_n)$ .

So we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu^*(E) &\geq \inf \{\bar{\mu}(F) : E \subset F, F \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{R})\} \\ &\geq \inf \{\bar{\mu}(F) : E \subset F, F \in \bar{S}\} \\ &\geq \mu^*(E).\end{aligned}$$

Hence the result.

At this point we would like to state a basic fact about the above extensions of a measure to an outer measure and a measure. If  $\mu$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure on a ring  $\mathbf{R}$  then both the the outer measure  $\mu^*$  on  $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{R})$  and consequently the measure  $\bar{\mu}$  on  $\bar{S}$  are  $\sigma$ -finite. the assertions are easy and the proofs are left to the reader. In the next result we examine the uniqueness of the extension  $\bar{\mu}$ . In general this extension is not unique, but we can say something more if the measure  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite.

**Theorem 9.27.** Let  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure defined on a ring  $\mathbf{R}$ . Then  $\mu$  has a unique extension to a  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\bar{\mu}$  on  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{R})$ .

**Proof:** We already know that  $\bar{\mu}$  is an extension of  $\mu$  to a  $\sigma$ -finite measure. To prove its unicity we need to show that if  $\mu_1$  is another  $\sigma$ -finite measure on  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{R})$  such that  $\mu_1 = \mu$  on  $\mathbf{R}$  then  $\mu_1 = \bar{\mu}$  on  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{R})$ .

First suppose that one of the two measures on  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{R})$  is finite. Let  $\bar{M}$  be the collection of all  $E \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{R})$  for which  $\mu_1(E) = \bar{\mu}(E)$ . Then clearly  $\mathbf{R} \subset \bar{M}$ . Further observe that for any monotonic sequence  $\{E_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  from  $\bar{M}$  we have

$$\mu_1\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_n\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mu_1(E_n)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\bar{\mu}(E_n)) = \bar{\mu}\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_n\right).$$

So  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_n \in \bar{M}$  and so  $\bar{M}$  is a monotone class. So from Theorem 9.10 it follows that

$$\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{R}) = \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{R}) \subset \bar{M}.$$

Therefore we have  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{R}) = \bar{M}$  which shows that  $\mu_1 = \bar{\mu}$  on  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{R})$ .

Now consider the general case. Let  $A$  be any fixed set in  $\mathbf{R}$  of finite measure with respect to one of the two measures  $\mu_1$  and  $\bar{\mu}$ . Note that, as both the measures are  $\sigma$ -finite, so such sets exist. Observe that  $\mathbf{R} \cap A$  is again a ring and by Theorem 9.6,  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{R} \cap A) = \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{R}) \cap A$ . Now if we apply the same reasoning given in the first part of the proof to the ring  $\mathbf{R} \cap A$ , then in view of the fact that one of the measures is finite on  $\mathbf{R} \cap A$  we get that

$$\mu_1(E) = \bar{\mu}(E) \quad \forall E \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{R}) \cap A.$$

Since by Theorem 9.5 every  $E \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{R})$  may be covered by a countable union of sets of finite measure in  $\mathbf{R}$  (with respect to either of the measures  $\mu_1$  and  $\bar{\mu}$ ) which can be constructed in such a way that they are pairwise disjoint (such

construction is always possible as we have seen before), so it now follows that  $\mu_1 = \bar{\mu}$  on  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{R})$ .

### 9.6. Measurable Covers and Complete Measure

In the final results of this chapter we first concentrate on a particular property of sets of real numbers with respect to Lebesgue measure and try to extend the concept to abstract settings. Recall that for any set of real numbers  $A$ , we can find a  $G_\delta$ -set  $G$  containing  $A$  whose Lebesgue measure is equal to the Lebesgue outer measure of  $A$ . Such a set is actually called a measurable cover. Below we give the formal definition.

**Definition 9.28.** Let  $\mu$  be a measure on a ring  $\mathbf{R}$  and let  $\mu^*$  be the extension of  $\mu$  to an outer measure on  $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{R})$ . Let  $\bar{S}$  be the collection of  $\mu^*$ -measurable sets and  $\bar{\mu}$  be the extension of  $\mu$  to  $\bar{S}$ . A  $\mu^*$ -measurable set  $F$  is said to be a measurable cover of  $E \in \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{R})$  if  $E \subset F$  and for any  $\mu^*$ -measurable set  $G \subset (F - E)$ ,  $\bar{\mu}(G) = 0$ .

**Theorem 9.29.** Let  $\mu$  be a measure on a ring  $\mathbf{R}$  and let  $\mu^*$  be the extension of  $\mu$  to an outer measure on  $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{R})$ . Let  $\bar{S}$  be the collection of  $\mu^*$ -measurable sets and  $\bar{\mu}$  is the extension of  $\mu$  to  $\bar{S}$ . Then every set  $E$  of  $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{R})$  with  $\sigma$ -finite outer measure has a measurable cover  $F$  such that  $\bar{\mu}(F) = \mu^*(E)$ .

**Proof:** First let  $E \in \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{R})$  be such that  $\mu^*(E) < \infty$ . Then for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , by Theorem 9.26 we can find a  $\mu^*$ -measurable set  $F_n \in \bar{S}$  such that  $E \subset F_n$  and

$$\bar{\mu}(F_n) < \mu^*(E) + \frac{1}{n}.$$

Now let

$$F = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n.$$

Then  $F$  is a measurable set containing  $E$  and

$$\mu^*(E) \leq \bar{\mu}(F) < \mu^*(E) + \frac{1}{n} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since this is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , so  $\bar{\mu}(F) \leq \mu^*(E)$  and hence  $\bar{\mu}(F) = \mu^*(E)$ . Now if  $G \in \bar{S}$  and  $G \subset (F - E)$  then  $E \subset (F - G)$  and so

$$\bar{\mu}(F) = \mu^*(E) \leq \mu^*(F - G) = \bar{\mu}(F - G) = \bar{\mu}(F) - \bar{\mu}(G).$$

So we must have  $\bar{\mu}(G) = 0$ . Hence  $F$  is a measurable cover of  $E$ .

Finally let  $E$  be any member of  $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{R})$  with  $\sigma$ -finite outer measure where  $\mu^*(E) = \infty$ . Then there exist a countable collection of sets  $\{E'_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{R})$

such that  $E \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E'_n$  and  $\mu^*(E'_n) < \infty \forall n$ . Now we can write

$$E = E \cap \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E'_n \right) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (E \cap E'_n) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$$

where obviously  $\mu^*(E_n) < \infty \forall n$ . As we have seen repeatedly, without any loss of generality we can assume that  $E_n$ s are pairwise disjoint. By the first part of the proof, every  $E_n$  has a measurable cover  $F_n$ . Then  $F = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$  is a measurable set containing  $E$ . Clearly  $\bar{\mu}(F) = \infty$ . It is easy to check that for any  $G \in \bar{\mathcal{S}}, G \subset (F - E), \bar{\mu}(G) = 0$ . So  $F$  is a measurable cover of  $E$ .

A measure  $\mu$  defined on a  $\sigma$ -ring  $\mathbf{S}$  is called complete if  $E \in \mathbf{S}, \mu(E) = 0$  and  $F \subset E$  implies that  $F \in \mathbf{S}$ . Note that if  $\mu^*$  is an outer measure defined on a hereditary  $\sigma$ -ring  $\mathbf{H}$  then the measure induced by it on the  $\sigma$ -ring of all  $\mu^*$ -measurable sets has this property. That is why the Lebesgue measure is a complete measure.

If  $\mu$  is a complete measure on a  $\sigma$ -ring  $\mathbf{S}$  then evidently all sets of the form  $A \Delta N$  belongs to  $\mathbf{S}$  where  $A \in \mathbf{S}$  and  $N$  is a subset of a member of  $\mathbf{S}$  of measure zero. In general a measure defined on a  $\sigma$ -ring may not be complete. However using the above mentioned fact one can extend any measure to a complete measure in the following way.