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## 1. Learning Outcomes

After studying this module, you shall be able to

- Find the $\pi$-electron energy and wavefunction for cyclopropenyl radical
- Understand the basis of molecular orbital diagram for $\pi$-electron systems


## 2. Hückel Molecular orbital theory

HMO theory is an approximate method which simplifies variation method to treat planar conjugated hydrocarbons. The Hückel theory treats only $\pi$ - electrons in a planar conjugated molecule. HMO calculations are carried out using variation method and $\operatorname{LCAO}(\pi)-\mathrm{MO}$ approximation. The basis set for MO approximation consists of one $p \pi$ orbital on each atom. The $\sigma$ skeleton of the conjugated molecule is assumed frozen.

According to LCAO-MO approximation, the MO is written as,
$\varphi_{a}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} \psi_{\boldsymbol{z} p_{z}}$

And the approximate energy is given by,
$E_{a}=\frac{\int \varphi_{a}^{*} \widehat{H} \varphi_{a} d \tau}{\int \varphi_{a}^{*} \varphi_{a} d \tau}$
The Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ incorporates the effect of the interaction of $\pi$ electron with the rest of the molecule (nuclei, inner electrons, $\sigma$ bonds) in an average way. In HMO method, $\pi$ electrons are assumed to be moving in a potential generated by the nuclei and $\sigma$ electrons
of the molecule. In addition, electron-electron repulsions are neglected in $\pi$-Hamiltonian of conjugated molecule.

For a planar conjugated hydrocarbon, the only atomic orbitals of $\pi$ symmetry are the $2 \mathrm{p} \pi$ orbtials on carbon.In this module, we have consistently assumed the plane of the molecule as $x-y$ plane with $\pi$ orbital in the z axis, perpendicular to the molecular plane.

A trial function that depends linearly on the variational parameters leads to a secular determinant which gives secular equation as an approximation to the energy.

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
H_{11}-E S_{11} & H_{12}-E S_{12} & \ldots \ldots \ldots & H_{1 n}-E S_{1 n}  \tag{3}\\
H_{21}-E S_{21} & H_{22}-E S_{22} & \ldots \ldots \ldots . & \ldots \ldots \ldots . \\
\ldots \ldots \ldots . & \ldots \ldots \ldots . & \ldots \ldots \ldots . & \ldots \ldots \ldots . \\
H_{n 1}-E S_{n 1} & H_{n 2}-E S_{n 2} & \ldots \ldots \ldots . & H_{n n}-E S_{n n}
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

To solve the Secular determinant for an $n-\pi$ electron system, Hückel treated the $H_{i i}, H_{i j}$, $S_{i j}$ and $S_{i j}$ integrals as parameters that can be evaluated empirically by fitting the theory to experimental results.

1. $H_{i j}=\int \psi_{i}^{*} \widehat{H} \psi_{j} d \tau$
$H_{i j}=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}\alpha(i=j) & \text { Coulomb integral } \\ \beta(i \neq j) & \text { Resonance integral }\end{array}\right.$
2. $S_{i j}=\int \psi_{i}^{*} \psi_{j} d \tau$
$S_{i j}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}1 & (i=j) \\ 0 & (i \neq j)\end{array} \quad\right.$ Overlap integral

The expansion of an $n \times n$ Hückel determinant yields a polynomial equation which has $n$ real roots giving $n$ energy levels and $n$ molecular orbitalsfor the $n-\pi$ electron system. The energy of any $\mathrm{a}^{\text {th }}$ molecular orbital (MO) is given by
$E_{a}=\alpha+x_{a} \beta$, where $x_{a}$ is the $a^{\text {th }}$ root of the polynomial.

## 3. Application of HMO theory

In this section, we shall apply HMO theory to a cyclic conjugated molecule viz., cyclopropenyl radical.

### 3.1 Cyclopropenyl radical

We consider here the case of cyclopropenyl radical.


Cyclopropenyl radical is a $3 \pi$-electron cyclic system where the carbon atoms are adjacent with each carbon $2 p_{z}$ orbital contributing 1 electron to the HMO $\pi$-electron system.

The three atomic orbitals (AOs) combine to form molecular orbitals (MOs).

Labeling the three carbons as 1,2 and 3 ,


The Hückel molecular orbital wavefunction for this system becomes
$\varphi_{a}=c_{1} \psi_{2 p_{z 1}}+c_{2} \psi_{2 p_{z 2}}+c_{3} \psi_{2 p_{z 3}}$
The Secular equations obtained for cyclopropenyl radical are of the form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathrm{H}_{11}-\mathrm{ES}_{11}\right) c_{1}+\left(\mathrm{H}_{12}-\mathrm{ES}_{12}\right) c_{2}+\left(\mathrm{H}_{13}-\mathrm{ES}_{13}\right) c_{3}=0 \\
& \left(\mathrm{H}_{21}-\mathrm{ES}_{21}\right) c_{1}+\left(\mathrm{H}_{22}-\mathrm{ES}_{22}\right) c_{2}+\left(\mathrm{H}_{23}-\mathrm{ES}_{23}\right) c_{3}=0 \\
& \left(\mathrm{H}_{31}-\mathrm{ES}_{31}\right) c_{1}+\left(\mathrm{H}_{32}-\mathrm{ES}_{32}\right) c_{2}+\left(\mathrm{H}_{33}-\mathrm{ES}_{33}\right) c_{3}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

which can be written in the form of secular determinant of order three as shown below,

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
H_{11}-E S_{11} & H_{12}-E S_{12} & H_{13}-E S_{13}  \tag{5}\\
H_{21}-E S_{21} & H_{22}-E S_{22} & H_{23}-E S_{23} \\
H_{31}-E S_{31} & H_{32}-E S_{32} & H_{33}-E S_{33}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
c_{1} \\
c_{2} \\
c_{3}
\end{array}\right]=0
$$

Now, in the case of cyclopropenyl radical, carbon atom 1 is connected to carbon atom 3, i.e., the $C 1$ and $C 3$ are neighbors.

Taking into account the assumptions of HMO theory, the secular determinant transforms into Hückel determinant as,

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{11}=H_{22}=H_{33}=\alpha H_{12}=H_{21}=H_{13}=H_{31}=H_{23}=H_{32}=\beta \\
S_{11}=S_{22}=S_{33}=1 S_{12}=S_{21}=S_{13}=S_{31}=S_{23}=S_{32}=0
\end{gathered}
$$



$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha-E & \beta & \beta \\
\beta & \alpha-E & \beta \\
\beta & \beta & \alpha-E
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
c_{1} \\
c_{2} \\
c_{3}
\end{array}\right]=0
$$

$\left[\begin{array}{l}c_{1} \\ c_{2} \\ c_{3}\end{array}\right] \neq 0 \Rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{ccc}\alpha-E & \beta & \beta \\ \beta & \alpha-E & \beta \\ \beta & \beta & \alpha-E\end{array}\right]=0$
$\left|\begin{array}{ccc}\alpha-E & \beta & \beta \\ \beta & \alpha-E & \beta \\ \beta & \beta & \alpha-E\end{array}\right|=0$

Let, $\lambda=\frac{\alpha-E}{\beta}$
-(7)

This reduces the Hückel determinant as,

$$
\left|\begin{array}{lll}
\lambda & 1 & 1 \\
1 & \lambda & 1 \\
1 & 1 & \lambda
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

Note: For all cyclic $\pi$ molecules, the element 1 will appear in Hückel determinant at positions $1 \mathrm{X} n$ as well as $n \mathrm{X} 1$, because the position 1 and position $n$ are neighbors.

The Hückel determinant leads to a polynomial equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{3}+3 \lambda+2=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives,

$$
(\lambda+2)\left(\lambda^{2}-2 \lambda+1\right)=0
$$

$$
(\lambda+2)\left(\lambda^{2}-\lambda-\lambda+1\right)=0
$$

$$
(\lambda+2)(\lambda-1)(\lambda-1)=0
$$

Thus, we have three roots of the polynomial equation as:
$\lambda_{1}=-2 ; \lambda_{2}=1 ; \lambda_{3}=1$

We assumed earlier while simplifying the Hückel determinant that
$\lambda=\frac{\alpha-E}{\beta}$
So, the energies of the molecular orbitals of cyclopropenyl radical are of the form,
$\lambda_{1}=-2 \quad E_{1}=\alpha+2 \beta$
$\lambda_{2}=\lambda_{3}=1 \quad E_{2}=E_{3}=\alpha-\beta$
The two energy levels viz., $E_{2}$ and $E_{3}$ are degenerate.
The Hückel energy level diagram for cyclopropenyl radical is shown below:


HMO energy level diagram for cyclopropenyl radical

The total $\pi$ electron energy $E_{\pi}$ is taken as the sum of the energies corresponding to occupancy of each $\pi$ electron.

For cyclopropenyl radical, the total $\pi$ electron energy $E_{\pi}$ is given by
Total $\pi$ energy $\left(E_{\pi}\right)=2(\alpha+2 \beta)+(\alpha-\beta)$
$E_{\pi}=3 \alpha+3 \beta$

Resonance energy (or delocalization energy D.E.) is defined as the difference in the energy of $\pi$ electrons in a given molecule and the sum of energies of isolated double bond

The energy of two $\pi$ electrons in ethylene is
$E_{\text {ethylene }}=2 \alpha+2 \beta$
$E_{D . E .}=E_{\pi}-E_{\text {ethylene }}=3 \alpha+3 \beta-2 \alpha-2 \beta-\alpha=\beta$

Hence, we can say that the cyclopropenyl radical is stable by a factor $\beta$ in comparison to an isolated double bond.

Another related term is $\pi$ bond formation energy which is the energy released when a $\pi$ bond is formed. Since the contribution of $\alpha$ is same in the molecules as in the atoms, so we can consider the energy of three electrons, each one in isolated and non-interacting atomic orbitals as $3 \alpha$, then the $\pi$ bond formation energy becomes,
$E_{\pi(\text { bond formation })}=E_{\pi}-E_{\text {isolated }}$
$E_{\pi(\text { bond formation })}=3 \alpha+3 \beta-3 \alpha=3 \beta$

Now, we solve for HMO coefficients,
In terms of $\lambda$ given by

$$
\lambda=\frac{\alpha-E}{\beta}
$$

the secular equations for cyclopropenyl radical are as follows,
$\left[\begin{array}{lll}\lambda & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \lambda & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \lambda\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}c_{1} \\ c_{2} \\ c_{3}\end{array}\right]=0$
$\lambda c_{1}+c_{2}+c_{3}=0$
$c_{1}+\lambda c_{2}+c_{3}=0$
$c_{1}+c_{2}+\lambda c_{3}=0$

For, $\lambda=-2$, the secular equations become,
$-2 c_{1}+c_{2}+c_{3}=0$
$c_{1}-2 c_{2}+c_{3}=0$
$c_{1}+c_{2}-2 c_{3}=0$
Subtracting equation (18) from equation (17) respectively gives,
$-3 c_{1}-3 c_{2}=0$
$c_{1}=c_{2}$
Subtracting equation (19) from equation (18) respectively gives,
$-3 c_{2}-3 c_{3}=0$
$c_{2}=c_{3}$

Now, we know that the sum of squares of coefficients is always unity, i.e., from normalization condition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}^{2}+c_{3}^{2}=1 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, using equations (20) and (21), we get normalization condition for $\lambda=-2$ as,
$3 c_{1}{ }^{2}=1$ or $c_{1}=c_{2}=c_{3}=1 / \sqrt{3}$

So, we get the wavefunction corresponding to $\lambda=-2$ as,
$\varphi_{1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(\psi_{2 p_{z 1}}+\psi_{2 p_{z 2}}+\psi_{2 p_{z 3}}\right)$

For, $\lambda=1$, the secular equations become,
$c_{1}+c_{2}+c_{3}=0$
$c_{1}+c_{2}+c_{3}=0$
$c_{1}+c_{2}+c_{3}=0$
The value of the coefficients corresponding to $\lambda=1$ cannot be determined using the set of equations as given in expression (25) alone. We know that the energy levels corresponding to $\lambda=1\left(E_{2}\right.$ and $\left.E_{3}\right)$ are degenerate. And in case of degenerate orbitals, HMO method cannot determine the coefficients uniquely. One can choose any value for $c_{1}, c_{2}$ and $c_{3}$ provided that they satisfy the conditions of normalization and orthogonality as well as the values of $c_{1}, c_{2}$ and $c_{3}$ must satisfy the expression (25).

A simple method to satisfy the above mentioned three conditions is to set any one of the coefficients equal to zero. Let $c_{3}=0$, then we have from equation (25),
$c_{1}+c_{2}=0$
$c_{2}=-c_{1}$
From normalization condition,
$c_{1}{ }^{2}+c_{2}{ }^{2}+c_{3}{ }^{2}=1$
$c_{1}{ }^{2}+c_{2}{ }^{2}+0=1$

Using equation (26) in equation (27) gives,
$c_{1}{ }^{2}+c_{1}{ }^{2}+0=1$
$2 c_{1}{ }^{2}=1$ or $c_{1}=1 / \sqrt{2}, c_{2}=-1 / \sqrt{2}$
So, we get the wavefunction corresponding to $\lambda=1$ assuming $c_{3}=0$ as,
$\varphi_{2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\psi_{2 p_{z 1}}-\psi_{2 p_{z 2}}\right)$

We arbitrarily chose $c_{3}=0$ but we cannot repeat the same process for determining $\varphi_{3}$.
This is because $\varphi_{3}$ must be orthogonal to $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$.
$\int \varphi_{1} \varphi_{3} d \tau=0$
$\int \varphi_{2} \varphi_{3} d \tau=0$

For instance, taking equation (31), we get
$\int\left[1 / \sqrt{2}\left(\psi_{2 p_{z 1}}-\psi_{2 p_{z 2}}\right)\right]\left[c_{1} \psi_{2 p_{z 1}}+c_{2} \psi_{2 p_{z 2}}+c_{3} \psi_{2 p_{z 3}}\right] d \tau=0$

On expanding the equation (32) we get,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int 1 / \sqrt{2} c_{1} \psi_{2 p_{z 1}}{ }^{2} d \tau+\int 1 / \sqrt{2} c_{2} \psi_{2 p_{z 1}} \psi_{2 p_{z 2}} d \tau+\int 1 / \sqrt{2} c_{3} \psi_{2 p_{z 1}} \psi_{2 p_{z 3}} d \tau \\
-\int 1 / \sqrt{2} c_{1} \psi_{2 p_{z 2}} \psi_{2 p_{z 1}} d \tau-\int 1 / \sqrt{2}{ }^{c_{2} \psi_{2 p_{z 2}}{ }^{2} d \tau} \\
-\int 1 / \sqrt{2} c_{3} \psi_{2 p_{z 2}} \psi_{2 p_{z 3}} d \tau=0
\end{gathered}
$$

$\int 1 / \sqrt{2} c_{1} \psi_{2 p_{z 1}}{ }^{2} d \tau-\int 1 / \sqrt{2} c_{2} \psi_{2 p_{z 2}}{ }^{2} d \tau=0$
$1 / \sqrt{2} c_{1}-1 / \sqrt{2} c_{2}=0$
Or, we can write $c_{1}=c_{2}$
But, the value of coefficients must satisfy equation (25),
$c_{1}+c_{2}+c_{3}=0$
$2 c_{1}+c_{3}=0$
$c_{3}=-2 c_{1}$
From normalization condition,
$c_{1}{ }^{2}+c_{2}{ }^{2}+c_{3}{ }^{2}=1$
Substituting the values from equations (33) and (34) we get,
$c_{1}{ }^{2}+c_{1}{ }^{2}+4 c_{1}{ }^{2}=1$
$6 c_{1}{ }^{2}=1 \quad$ or $\quad c_{1}=c_{2}=1 / \sqrt{6} ; c_{3}=-2 / \sqrt{6}$

So, we get the wavefunction $\varphi_{3}$ as,
$\varphi_{3}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \psi_{2 p_{z 1}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \psi_{2 p_{z 2}}-\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} \psi_{2 p_{z 3}}$

In general, any linear combinations of degenerate molecular orbitals (MO's), which satisfy the orthogonality and normalization conditions, will be equally valid MO's.
The pictorial representation of the three Hückel molecular orbitals for cyclopropenyl radical is shown below.


- Electron density:

$$
\int \varphi^{2} d \tau=\sum_{n} c_{n}^{2}={c_{1}}^{2}+{c_{2}}^{2}+{c_{3}}^{2}
$$

This means that in LCAO-HMO approach, $c_{n}^{2}$ represents the electron density due to one electron at the atom $n$, but there may be several electrons in the system distributed in a number of HMO's. So, the total electron density is taken as the sum of electron densities contributed by different electron in each HMO.

$$
q_{n}=\sum_{i} n_{i} c_{i n}^{2} n_{i} \text { is the number of electrons in ith HMO }(0,1 \text { or } 2)
$$

In case of cyclopropenyl radical, two $\pi$-electrons are in energy state $E_{1}$ while the third electron may be placed in either energy state $E_{2}$ or $E_{3}$ (degenerate). In such a case, electron density is calculated by assuming that half of the available electron is in each of the degenerate MO's.
$q_{1}=2 \times(1 / \sqrt{3})^{2}+1 / 2 \times(1 / \sqrt{2})^{2}+1 / 2 \times(1 / \sqrt{6})^{2}=1$
$q_{2}=2 \times(1 / \sqrt{3})^{2}+1 / 2 \times(-1 / \sqrt{2})^{2}+1 / 2 \times(1 / \sqrt{6})^{2}=1$
$q_{3}=2 \times(1 / \sqrt{3})^{2}+0+1 / 2 \times(-2 / \sqrt{6})^{2}=1$

- Charge density

In a conjugated molecule, a neutral carbon is associated with an electron density of 1.0 and the net charge density is defined as

$$
\varepsilon_{n}=1-q_{n}
$$

For cyclopropenyl radical,

$$
\varepsilon_{1}=1-1=0=\varepsilon_{2}=\varepsilon_{3}
$$

- $\Pi$-bond order between adjacent carbon atoms is given by

$$
B O_{a b}^{\pi}=\sum_{i} n_{i} c_{i a} c_{i b}
$$

where $n_{i}$ is the number of $\pi$ electrons in ith MO $c_{i a} c_{i b}$ is the $\pi$ - electorn charge in ith MO between adjacent carbon atoms $a$ and $b$
$\Pi$-bond order represents the extent of $\pi$-bonding between adjacent atoms. For cyclopropenyl radical,
$B O_{12}^{\pi}=(2 \times 1 / \sqrt{3} \times 1 / \sqrt{3})+(1 / 2 \times 1 / \sqrt{2} \times-1 / \sqrt{2})+(1 / 2 \times 1 / \sqrt{6} \times 1 / \sqrt{6})$
$B O_{12}^{\pi}=0.5$
$B O_{23}^{\pi}=(2 \times 1 / \sqrt{3} \times 1 / \sqrt{3})+(1 / 2 \times-1 / \sqrt{2} \times 0)+(1 / 2 \times 1 / \sqrt{6} \times-2 / \sqrt{6})$
$B O_{23}^{\pi}=0.5$
$B O_{31}^{\pi}=(2 \times 1 / \sqrt{3} \times 1 / \sqrt{3})+(1 / 2 \times 0 \times 1 / \sqrt{2})+(1 / 2 \times-2 / \sqrt{6} \times 1 / \sqrt{6})$
$B O_{31}^{\pi}=0.5$

There is a $\sigma$ bond between two carbon atoms which is taken into account while reporting the total bond order. The total bond order is given by

$$
B O_{a b}^{\text {total }}=1+B O_{a b}^{\pi}
$$

A high bond order corresponds to large $\pi$-charge in the bond regions which means a shorter and stronger bond.

Exercise: Calculate the ground state energies, wavefunction, electron density, charge density and bond order for cyclopropenyl carbonium ion and carbanion respectively. Compare the results with cyclopropenyl radical and comment on the results.

## 4. Summary

- HMO theory is an approximate method which simplifies variation method to treat planar conjugated hydrocarbons.
- The Hückel theory treats only $\pi$ - electrons in a planar conjugated molecule.
- HMO calculations are carried out using variation method and LCAO( $\pi$ )-MO approximation.
- The basis set for MO approximation consists of one $p \pi$-orbital on each atom.
- Application of HMO theory to cyclopropenyl radical
$\checkmark$ Cyclopropenyl radicalis a three electron system
$\checkmark E_{1}=\alpha+2 \beta E_{2}=E_{3}=\alpha-\beta$
The two energy levels viz., $E_{2}$ and $E_{3}$ are degenerate.
$\checkmark E_{\pi}=3 \alpha+3 \beta$
$\checkmark E_{D . E .}=\beta$
$\checkmark$ In case of degenerate orbitals, HMO method cannot determine the coefficients uniquely. One can choose any value for $c_{1}, c_{2}$ and $c_{3}$ provided that they satisfy the conditions of normalization and orthogonality. In general, any linear combinations of degenerate molecular orbitals (MO's), which satisfy the orthogonality and normalization conditions, will be equally valid MO's.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\checkmark \varphi_{1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(\psi_{2 p_{z 1}}+\psi_{2 p_{z 2}}+\psi_{2 p_{z 3}}\right) \\
\varphi_{2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\psi_{2 p_{z 1}}-\psi_{2 p_{z 2}}\right) \\
\varphi_{3}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \psi_{2 p_{z 1}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \psi_{2 p_{z 2}}-\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} \psi_{2 p_{z 3}}
\end{gathered}
$$

